



A Fluid-Based Approach for Modeling Network Activities

James, Donald

Trinity College Dublin

ABSTRACT

Network traffic traces provide valuable information for researchers to study behaviors of normal and malicious network activities. Although traffic traces are enough to reveal packet-level and connection-level details of most network activities, identifying specific malicious network activities is still a huge challenge: many malicious network activities are able to hide themselves behind normal activities with forged packet and connection information. In practice, mechanisms that are able to effectively extract malicious network activities from raw traffic traces are emerging and will benefit network security and other related communities as well. In this paper, a fluid-based approach for modeling simulated normal and malicious flooding-based denial of service network activities is developed. To approach this goal, several raw traffic traces gathered by the Cooperative Association for Internet Data Analysis (CADIA) are analyzed and investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Internet has merged into our daily life because of its usage and enormous size: it is estimated that at least 8 x 10⁸ documents and links covering almost every categories that we need [1]. Since the increasing number of fixed and mobile Internet-enabled devices, economic value of the Internet grows as well. In 2009, the Internet contributed about 3.8 % of the United States (U.S.) Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and the U.S. has led the Internet supply ecosystem [2-4]. Due to its popularity and financial capability, the Internet has become a target of many criminals and terrorists. In the first quarter of year 2012, there were 83 million pieces of malware including 8 thousand mobile malware; more than 1 trillion messaging threats (e.g. email spam); more than 4 million messaging botnets; huge number of network threats (e.g. Remote Procedure Call (RPC), SQL injection, Browser, cross-site scripting, etc.); and about 8 million websites hosting malicious downloads or browser exploits. The U.S. was almost at the top of every listed attack category [5]. Meanwhile, the number of cyber-attack on U.S. critical infrastructures (e.g. dams, energy, water, and cross-sector) increased sharply from 2009 to 2011 (from 9 incidents to 209 incidents) [6]. The report [7] conducted by Ponemon Institute in August 2011 revealed that average financial impact of every victim (private company) due to cyber-crime is in the range from 1.5 million to 3.6 million U.S. dollars and is about 56 percent increase from their last year's report. This report also indicated there is more than 1 successful attack per company per week and such a number is 44 percent increase compared to their last year's report. Paolo Passeri [8] presented monthly reports in cyberattacks statistics. His observation indicated that Denial of Service (DoS) attack is the top three attack techniques affecting the stability of the Internet. Since flooding-based DoS attack could be launched with very less effort comparing with other attacks, it has been widely adopted to flood resources of victims and cause service disruption. There have been many approaches proposed to reduce Internet threats [9-23].

based DoS network activities are not isolated, but related as different stages of a series of cyber-attacks. Intuitively, their traces could be caught even though they are carefully hidden behind normal network activities and have forged footprints. For example, the distribution of inter-arrival time of a series of malicious requests on a web-server could be identified even through those malicious requests implemented with forged IP headers. In order to launch a successful flooding-based DoS attack, the hacker has to make large enough requests to overwhelm the target's service capacity. Therefore, such malicious service requests are tended to be intensive and follow best-effort approach. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews related work. Section 3 covers background of flooding-based DoS attack. Section 4 introduces the simulated normal and malicious traffic. Section 5 describes characteristics of the selected network traffic captured by CADIA. Section 6 explains fluid-based approach on a single congested network. Section 7 discusses performance of our model under the simulated normal and malicious traffic. Section 8 concludes this paper and points out future work.



Related Work Several literatures have studied and addressed strategies for mitigating cyber-attacks. Lobo et al. [9] studied attacks and countermeasures of the Windows Rootkits: software that is used to hide malicious activities and permit hackers to take control of victims. Several suggestions were issued to the Microsoft and research communities for developing future Windows operating systems. Shafi [10] surveyed security challenges in Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS). Agresti [11] proposed four distinct forces that will shape the future evolution of cybersecurity. Michael et al. [12] emphasized the importance of integrating legal and policy in cyber-preparedness. Eom et al. [13] developed an active cyber-attack model for accessing network vulnerabilities. Yu et al. [14] discussed models and countermeasures for.

attacks that aim at Internet threat monitors. Wang et al. [15] focused on developing a mechanism to gather digital evidences that could be used to defend against cross-site script attack. Tejay et al. [16] analyzed performance of existing information system security countermeasures. Leland et al. [17] presented a result of Ethernet traffic: “aggregating streams of such traffic typically intensifies the self-similarity instead of smoothing it”. Several other researchers adopted the concept of selfsimilarity as well to propose their approaches for detecting cyberattacks such as traffic anomaly [18], intrusion [19], spam [20], and Distributed DoS (DDoS) attack [21]. In 1998, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and Air Force Research Lab (AFRL) funded a research in MIT Lincoln Laboratory to create large-scale intrusion detection database as the first standard set for measuring performance in terms of false alarm for each intrusion detection system under test. Most intrusion detection systems use signatures of known attacks to detect attacks. Many of these systems suffer high false alarm rates and poor detection of new attacks. Despite its increasing role in intrusion detection system, network traffic analysis approach remains premature: lack of effective malicious patterns and heavy increase of computational overhead [22,23].

Flooding-Based Denial Of Service Attack An easy way to cause service denial to normal requests is by congesting the target links though high-rate unresponsive malicious flows. Flooding-based DoS attack [24-26] is the most prevalent among all cyber-attacks. It induces attack traffic from a sufficient number of compromised hosts to carry out congestion and cause most packets from normal flows to be dropped at the routers or service stacks. Most of the approaches in literatures for dealing with congestion are dedicated to providing fairness [27-31] to all active flows or rejecting malicious packets before they reach the service stacks [25-29]. Those approaches may not reduce impacts of malicious flows since they are sharing the same bandwidth with normal flows. The most common way to introduce flooding-based DoS attack is to disrupt connections between victims and legitimate users. For instance, in TCP SYN flooding attack [29], a large number of TCP SYN packets with spoofed source addresses are sending to service ports of the victim to request for establishing new connections. The victim responds those requests with SYN-ACK packets and waits for ACK packets from those requests. Since source addresses in those TCP SYN packets are spoofed and unreachable, these SYN-ACK packets will never reach their destinations. And then the victim is forced to retransmit SYNACK packets for each request several times before giving up and could not establish regular connections for legitimate requests. An alternative way to cause flooding-based DoS attack is to drain the bandwidth of all incident links of the victim to force the nearest router to drop most incoming packets of the victim. Attackers could do this by generating a heavy load of UDP-like unresponsive best-effort traffic (e.g., UDP, ICMP, TCP SYN, etc.) to exhaust bandwidth of the victim. For instance, attackers can broadcast ICMP Echo packets with victim’s IP address in the source field [28]. And then huge amount of ICMP Echo replies will be triggered and aim at the victim. These replies would overwhelm the victim’s network and consume most of its bandwidth and cause denial of service.

Analyzing Existing Network Traffic Traces Existing network traffic traces provide clues for researchers to study scenarios and patterns of packets and connections. Researchers can simply derive statistical data regarding to packets, connections, and network resources for conducting complicated simulations. In our research, we first gather knowledge from existing network traffic traces. 4 network traffic traces (Table 1) provided by the CAIDA (www.caida.org/data) have been analyzed. They were all captured by the “Equinix San Jose A” monitoring point equipped with OC-192 optical link and dated from year 2009 to 2011. Each of these traffic traces contains 60-second raw network data.

Packet level analysis We extract packet-level information from those traffic traces listed in Table 1. The packet-level information includes time stamp, source IP address, destination IP address, protocol, packet size (with and without IP header), source port (application), destination port (application), and other information regarding to TCP, etc. We group packets from every traffic trace into several different streams according to their protocols. In this paper, we differentiate packets into three categories: TCP packet, UDP packet, and Other packet. The packet-level information of the selected traffic traces is revealed in the Table 2 and 3. We observe that about 78% - 88% of network traffic is made



by TCP packets, about 9% - 20% is made by UDP packets, and about 1% - 4% is made by other packets. We also observe that there are more than 85 other protocols (e.g., control messages, peer-to-peer protocol, other special protocols) implemented in the selected traffic traces. These observations meet our expectation, since the majority of web applications (e.g., HTTP and HTTPS) are implemented upon TCP-related protocols [32,33]. Overall, we observe that TCP and UDP packets make up more than 94% of all packets.

Our results show that there are about 589,537 connections in this traffic trace. Among them, 46.62% are TCP connection, 39.95% are UDP connection, and 13.43% are others (Table 4).

We also calculate life (in seconds) and size (in number of packets) of every connection (Table 5 and 6) in this traffic trace. We observe that:

- Average life of TCP connections is longer than that of UDP and Other connections: As shown in the Table 5, there are about 25.3% of TCP connections having life shorter than 1 second. However, the value is 77.93% for UDP connections and 68.53% for Other connections, respectively. Meanwhile, about 50% of TCP connections having life longer than 20 seconds, but only about 6% of UDP connections and 19% of Other connections having life longer than 20 seconds, respectively.
- Average size of TCP connections is larger than that of UDP and Other connections: As shown in Table 6, there are about 76.66% of TCP connections having size smaller than 10 packets, but about 97.67% of UDP connections and 93.4% of other connections having size smaller than 10 packets, respectively. Overall, we observe that UDP connections are much shorter in size and life than TCP connections. One interesting factor of UDP connections is: about 78% of UDP connections having life shorter than 1 second and more than 97% of them having size less than 10 packets. This could be formed by large amount of short-life streaming video or audio data embedded in webpages.

2.SIMULATED NORMAL AND SIMULATED MALICIOUS TRAFFIC

We have learned the following scenarios from the selected traffic traces discussed in the Section III and IV: (1) TCP packets contribute to about 85%, UDP packets contribute to about 10% and the combination of them contribute to about 95% of the network traffic, respectively; (2) TCP-stream is more self-similar than UDP-stream and Other-stream since it tends to be burstiness. (3) Other packets could be treated as UDP-like since characteristics of them are very similar to UDP; (4) Hurst parameter of All-stream is very similar to TCP-stream, since TCP packets make up most of the network traffic.

3.FLUID-BASED APPROACH FOR MODELING NETWORK TRAFFIC IN A SINGLE CONGESTED NETWORK

To study network behavior without captures of actual network traffic, we develop a fluid-based approach adopting ideas from [24]. We model network traffic as a fluid and use Stochastic Differential Equations (SDE) to model TCP traffic. We also derive differential equations to describe Drop-Tail queuing policy. As mentioned in the previous sections, TCP and UDP are the two major protocols used in the selected network traffic traces. Therefore, we consider only these two protocols in the fluid-based model. Performance measures used in this paper are throughput, goodput, and drop-rate: throughput represents sending rate (in bits per second) of the source node of a connection, drop-rate represents packet-loss rate (in bits per second) of a connection, and goodput represents receiving rate (in bits per second) of the destination node of a connection.

4.THROUGHPUT OF ANY TCP CONNECTION J

To simplify network traffic without losing general characteristics of TCP protocol, we assume TCP implements an Additive Increase Multiplicative Decrease (AIMD) policy: when there is no congestion occurred, the policy of "Additive Increase" will increase the congestion window by 1 for every round trip time; when congestion detected, the policy of "Multiplicative Decrease" will decrease the congestion windows by half. Therefore, for any TCP connection j following the AIMD policy, its dynamic congestion window size can be represented by a SDE listed below.



5. MODELING SIMULATED NETWORK TRAFFIC

In this section, we demonstrate various simulated network traffic according to the knowledge learned from the selected raw network traffic traces and models developed from our fluid-based approach. Modeling simulated normal traffic with single TCP and UDP connection To understand TCP and UDP involved in the simulated normal and malicious traffic, we design a simple simulation to study their characteristics. At first, we simulate dynamical change of congestion window size and goodput of a TCP connection.

The parameters involve in this simulation are: physical queue size of the congested router is 32,000 bits; minimum window size of TCP is 1 packet; maximum window size of TCP is 80 packets; average TCP round trip time is 20 ms; service capacity of the congested router is 10 Mbps; and TCP packet size is 8,000 bits. As shown in the Figure 1, congestion window size of this TCP connection is fluctuated between 15 and 31 packets after the first packet loss detected. Meanwhile, we also discover goodput of this TCP connection is fluctuated as well (Figure 2). These results indicate that the TCP AIMD policy adopted in our model actively responds to packet losses from this TCP connection. We then add an UDP connection into the same simulation to study the competition between TCP and UDP. We designate a reserved service capacity of the congested router to this UDP connection and then capture its goodput vs. time. The additional parameters needed for this simulation are: UDP packet size is 1,600 bits and throughput of this UDP connection is fixed to about 15% of the service capacity of the congested router. As we expected, goodput of the TCP connection will be reduced since it responds to network congestion.

UDP connection keeps its sending-rate steadily (Figure 3). Therefore, we see a potential that how a single malicious activity can gain largest advantage against normal network activities: using high-rate nonresponsiveness packets to flood targeted victims.

Modeling simulated normal traffic with multiple TCP and UDP connections As we observed in the previous sections, traffic trace 2009-01 has about 590,000 connections within its 60 second monitoring period. Among these connections, 47% are TCP connection, 40% are UDP connection, and 13% are other connections. We also observe that about 51% of them having connection life shorter than 1 second and about 87% of them having connection size less than 10 packets. These data demonstrate a fact that most connections passing through the monitoring point are very short and fragile and even TCP connection would act like an UDP one and will not perform congestion control as well as it is designed. Therefore, we could see a large amount of burstiness across 60-second monitoring period (Figure 4). This fact explains why TCP SYN attack could bring much more damages than we expected. It could not only hijack services for normal requests, but also deprive them of network bandwidth.

our model to represent start time, end time, and size of a connection. We use life and size distribution learned from traffic trace 2009-01 to mimic start_time, end_time, and size of every connection. As shown in the Figure 5 and 6, life distribution of traffic trace 2009-01 demonstrate a Power Law-like characteristic: exponential decrease with long tail; and size distribution of the same traffic trace demonstrate a Poisson-like characteristic: exponential decrease. Therefore, these two characteristics would be added into our model to produce those three additional parameters for every connection.

6. MODELING SIMULATED MALICIOUS TRAFFIC

In this paper, we assume malicious traffic is derived from a certain amount of malicious UDP-like connections with short life and small size and aiming at some predefined network victims. To mimic flooding-based DoS attack, we introduce a simulated malicious traffic which is made up by a number of UDP-like flows with short flow life and small flow size. We assume their average life will be shorter than 1 second and their average size will be smaller than 10 packets as well. To exhaust bandwidth of the victim, this simulated malicious traffic will not behave self-similar and have Hurst parameter smaller than 0.5. Our approach is based on an observation that malicious floodingbased DoS network activities are not isolated, but related as different stages of a series of malicious attacks. Intuitively, their traces could be caught even though they are carefully hidden behind normal activities and have forged footprints. To model simulated malicious traffic, we introduce a large amount of UDP-like best-effort packets as an aggregate UDP connection with throughput fixed to about 30% of the service capacity into the monitoring point. Other simulation conditions are the same as modeling simulated normal traffic with single TCP and UDP connection. We observe that goodput of the simulated normal traffic decreased as the number of simulated malicious packet increased (Figure 7).



7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we (1) analyze several selected traffic traces; (2) introduce a set of simulated normal traffic and simulated malicious traffic according to the knowledge learned from the selected traffic traces; and (3) develop a fluid-based model to study performance of a single congested network under simulated normal traffic and the simulated malicious traffic. In the future, we will develop more network models (e.g., a network with multiple congestion points) to study performance of the simulated traffic. We will also extend our network model and simulated traffic to study other malicious activities and to evaluate their influences as well.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Albert R, Jeong H, Barabasi A-L (1999) Diameter of the World-Wide Web. *Nature* 401: 130-131.
- [2]. Hätönen J (2011) The economic impact of fixed and mobile high-speed networks. *EIB Papers* 16: 30-59.
- [3]. Greenstein S, McDevitt R (2011) The broadband bonus: estimating broadband Internet's economic value. *Telecommunications Policy* 35: 617-632.
- [4]. Rausas MP, Manyika J, Hazan E, Bughin J, Chui M, et al. (2011) Internet matters: the net's sweeping impact on growth, jobs, and prosperity. McKinsey Global Institute.
- [5]. McAfee Lab (2012) McAfee Threats Reports: First Quarter 2012.
- [6]. Industrial Control Systems Cyber Emergency Response Team Control Systems Security Program (2011) ICS-CERT incident response summary report 2009- 2011.
- [7]. Ponemon Institute (2011) Second annual cost of cyber crime study.
- [8]. Passeri P (2013) Cyber attack statistics.
- [9]. Lobo D, Watters P, Wu X-W, Sun L (2010) Windows rootkits: attacks and countermeasures. *Proceeding of 2010 Second Cybercrime and Trustworthy Computing Workshop*.
- [10]. Shafi Q (2012) Cyber physical systems security: a brief survey. *Proceeding of 12th International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications*.